Journal of Innovative Research in Management and Humanities 5(1), June, 2024.
ISSN: 2141-8217.
https://journals.unizik.edu.ng/joirmah/about

Interwoven Dynamics of Family and Society in Ikechukwu Ezema's *The Reward*

(pp. 186-199.)

Chidimma Blessing Nneka Ike[1*] and Adaobi Olivia Ihueze[2]
[1]Department of English Language and Literature
Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka
[2]Department of English Language and Literature
Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka

[*]**Correspondence email:** cb.ike@unizik.edu.ng

Abstract: This paper investigates the complex relationship between family dynamics and societal influences in Ikechukwu Ezema's poignant novel, The Reward. Despite the paucity of research examining these concepts within the text, family and society are intricately linked and hold deep sociological significance. Through a meticulous analysis of the novel's narrative, this study explores how human actions and behaviour shape the contours of familial relationships and their reverberating impact on the broader societal fabric. By scrutinizing the events and scenarios depicted in The Reward, this paper illuminates the ways in which parenting, upbringing, and familial bonds mold individuals, ultimately influencing their roles and contributions to society. The study offers a nuanced examination of The Reward, unveiling the multifaceted interaction between the microcosm of family and the macrocosm of society. It delves into the complexities of human behaviour, parental influence, and the intricate web of familial dynamics, shedding light on their profound impact on individual development and societal structures. Through a comprehensive analysis, this paper unravels the profound truths woven into Ezema's narrative, accentuating the long-term significance of these sociological concepts in shaping the human experience

Key words: Family dynamics, societal influences, sociological concepts, reward, parental influence, individual development

INTRODUCTION

The family is a fundamental unit that lies at the core of human societies across cultures. It is widely regarded as the most cohesive and influential force shaping individuals and communities alike. Over time, human cultures have ascribed an absolute value and paramount importance to the institution of the family. The prevailing belief is that the quality and functionality of society are intrinsically tied to the structure and organization of

©Copyright 2024 by the author(s)

families that constitute its foundation. The concept of family, while exhibiting distinctions across different cultural contexts, shares commonalities that transcend geographical boundaries. At its essence, a family represents the smallest unit or group within a broader human culture, encompassing individuals bound by blood relations or shared histories. Therefore, a family is seen as a group of two or more individuals that share close personal and interpersonal ties which are linked through birth, marriage, or adoption. Families function as both an economic and emotional bond within society. They provide people with a social group where they can grow up, experience life, and satisfy many of their basic requirements for status, affection, and sustenance. This definition highlights the varied nature of the family, encompassing not only biological ties but also emotional bonds, economic interdependence, and the fulfillment of fundamental human needs. It highlights the family's pivotal role in shaping individuals' emotional and social development, providing a nurturing environment for personal growth and self-actualization.

Moreover, the family serves as a microcosm of society, reflecting its values, norms, and cultural traditions. It is within the family unit that individuals first learn and internalize the behavioural patterns, belief systems, and societal expectations that govern their interactions with the broader community. Consequently, the changing and functioning of families deeply influence the overall well-being and cohesion of society. Garman goes deep and wide in defining the concept of a family. He states that

> The family is a controversial concept with different definitions. It might be considered as a community where some needs are met - including, but not limited to heritage, living and household, love, power, and authority. There are also many reasons for a family to exist: biogenetic, personal choice, law, economic, cultural, experience, or mutual support. (11)

Garman posits that families serve as fundamental social units, fulfilling a spectrum of needs for their members. These necessities encompass both practical requirements and the intangible desires for belonging and a shared history. While genetics and blood relations may contribute to the familial bond, they are not the sole determinants. The need for mutual support and a sense of collective purpose are equally significant in forging a family unit.

Echoing Garman's perspective, Morris et al. acknowledge the complex nature of family formation. Families can be established for various reasons, and the motivations behind their creation are as diverse as the needs they address. They list these reasons or factors as kinship ties, co-residential plans, and childbearing and rearing. Building upon Garman and Morris et al.'s emphasis on multifaceted family formation, the authors posit that at least one core need, such as kinship or procreation, serves as the impetus for family

©Copyright 2024 by the author(s)

formation. Within the African context, these needs are particularly salient, with kinship ties and the desire for childbearing and rearing frequently cited as the foundational elements of a family unit. However, the concept of family, like other social institutions, is not static. The factors that contribute to family formation have undergone a degree of transformation, reflecting the evolving social landscape and contemporary circumstances. This is an argument raised by Garman as he states that the concept of families "are dynamic and change over time. There are not only the historical changes" (12).

The concept of family transcends a simplistic notion of a unified entity. It represents a complex social unit interwoven with shared history, purpose, and a vibrant constellation of individual personalities. Each member contributes a unique perspective, shaping the family's dynamic character. While kinship and a sense of belonging undoubtedly serve as foundational elements of family formation, Allen and Henderson (2024) posit a refined perspective. They argue against a monolithic view of family unity, one that equates harmony with uniformity. Instead, Allen and Henderson (2024) champion the notion that robust family structures thrive on embracing their inherent complexity. The diverse personalities, experiences, and perspectives of each member can be a wellspring of strength, fostering innovation, personal growth, and a deeper understanding of oneself and others. However, navigating this complex tapestry requires effective communication strategies, empathetic understanding, and a commitment to constructive conflict resolution. Families that recognize and celebrate the value of individuality can leverage their differences to cultivate a supportive environment. In such an environment, each member feels valued and empowered to contribute their unique talents. This, in turn, fosters a more dynamic and enriching family experience for all.

Allen and Henderson opine that "a family can be in constant flux, and each person in a family brings new perspectives. There might be a daily or weekly rhythm, long or short-term change. Families are diverse in structure, systems, and inter-relationships" (25). The traditional nuclear family, while prevalent, represents just one facet of the multifaceted reality of family structures in contemporary society. There is a wide variety of family structures that exists, each contributing to the dynamism and vibrancy of social life. This diversity fosters a more inclusive and enriching global landscape. The pathways to family formation are demonstrably heterogeneous. While Allen and Henderson highlight the significance of individual needs and shared purpose, Hammond introduces a distinct perspective, emphasizing the crucial role of economic security. Individuals, navigating the complexities of the social environment, often seek stability and support within family structures. These structures can offer a vital source of protection and financial well-being. For Hammond, the pursuit of economic security can be a primary motivator for family formation, existing alongside the previously discussed emotional and social needs.

©Copyright 2024 by the author(s)

This broadened perspective emphasizes the multidimensionality of family formation. While emotional connection and shared purpose are undoubtedly essential elements, economic considerations can also be a significant driving force. Recognizing this multidimensionality allows for a more nuanced understanding of the diverse family structures present in today's society. Hammond states that "by far, economic support is the most common function of today's families. When your parents let you raid their pantry, wash clothes in their laundry, or replenish your checking account, that's economic support" (4). Of course, the economic support is better enjoyed by the children or dependent of a mature couple. Then, when the mature couple ages, they also get to depend on their children who would have come of age.

The Family as a Societal Microcosm: A Literary Exploration

Within the realm of literature, the family transcends its role as a narrative unit, transforming into a potent microcosm of the broader society. Scholars recognize families as fundamental societal building blocks, reflecting and shaping the prevailing cultural values, norms, and challenges. The family unit serves as the primary site for socialization, where individuals are inculcated with the beliefs and customs that form the bedrock of their worldview. Consequently, the functionality and dynamics of family units exert a profound influence on the overall well-being and trajectory of society.

Hammond buttresses this fact as he states that "family groups are crucial to society and are what most people will form in their own adult lives" (6). The inextricable link between family and society has long been a central theme in sociological discourse. Functional family units are recognized as vital building blocks for a cohesive and well-functioning social order. They serve as the primary agents of socialization, transmitting cultural norms, values, and beliefs across generations. Conversely, the breakdown or dysfunction within families can have a cascading effect, negatively impacting the social fabric.

Given this profound societal influence, it is unsurprising that the family unit occupies a prominent space within the realm of literature. Playwrights, novelists, and filmmakers frequently utilize the family dynamic as a microcosm, reflecting and exploring broader societal issues within this intimate setting. Through their portrayals, they delve into the complexities of family life, including the tensions, conflicts, and triumphs that families experience. These narratives often celebrate the enduring values associated with family, such as love, loyalty, and support. However, they can also expose the cracks in the facade, highlighting the potential for dysfunction and its repercussions. Broad presents this situation in children's literature below as

©Copyright 2024 by the author(s)

> The family has always been a pervasive theme in children's literature, but a feature of modern children's books is that they often introduce the family as 'breaking down'. Many contemporary children's books choose to represent 'modern' families as 'failing' and specifically do so about earlier ideas about families. As the story progresses, the families strengthen and get better. (3)

Broad's assertion regarding the representation of family extends beyond the realm of children's literature, permeating a wider array of media, including film. The persistent narrative trope of a "failing family" overcoming adversity to achieve a state of restored strength resonates across various genres. This recurring thematic emphasis accentuates the lasting societal value ascribed to a functional family unit. By depicting the spectrum of vulnerabilities and triumphs experienced by families, such narratives implicitly reinforce the significance of familial bonds in navigating life's challenges.

The exploration of family within literature is a well-established field of inquiry, attracting the sustained attention of scholars across generations. Literary scholars meticulously examine the complex roles that families embody within the tangled social fabric depicted in literary works. As Kennedy and Dana astutely observe, this analysis aligns with the core tenets of a sociological approach to literary criticism.

The Sociological Approach: Unveiling the Societal Tapestry

This critical framework posits that literature is not produced in isolation. Authors are inevitably shaped by, and in turn, reflect, the prevailing social realities of their era. The sociological approach encourages a meticulous examination of how a literary text portrays family dynamics and how these portrayals correspond to the dominant social order, value systems, and potential societal challenges. This nuanced analysis can unveil deeper truths concerning the complexities of family life situated within a specific historical context.

Kennedy and Dana advocate for the application of a sociological approach in literary criticism. This approach entails a meticulous examination of a literary text within the framework of its social and cultural milieu. The critic examines the historical context, prevailing social structures, and cultural norms surrounding the work's creation or reception. This analysis reveals how the text reflects or critiques the social order, value systems, and power dynamics of its time. By employing this approach, the critic transcends a purely textual analysis, situating the work within the broader stance of social reality. According to them, a sociological approach to literary criticism can be employed when a critic "examines literature in the cultural, economic, and political context in which it is written or received" (22). When examining family and society within a text, sociological criticism investigates the cultural, economic, and political context that shapes the narrative.

©Copyright 2024 by the author(s)

This approach accentuates the deep connection between a work of literature and the society it emerges from. In this light, analyzing Ikechukwu Ezema's *The Reward* through a sociological lens will explore how the representation of family values reflects and interacts with the realities of Nigerian society.

Family Dynamics and Societal Influence in Ikechukwu Ezema's *The Reward*

In Ikechukwu Ezema's *The Reward*, the portrayal of contrasting family values between the Ofoedu and Aja families sets the stage for a deeper exploration of societal dynamics. The Ofoedu family is depicted as embodying moral uprightness, diligence, and a commitment to positive values. In contrast, the Aja family is characterized by moral bankruptcy, laziness, and a promotion of negative values. The initial exposition of Aja establishes him as a notorious figure, known for his involvement in dark magic and medicine. This reputation not only instills fear but also grants him a position of power which he uses oppressively, particularly towards those who are vulnerable, such as women and effeminate men in his community. The stark contrast between these two families not only drives the narrative but also serves as a lens through which the broader impact on society can be examined. The Ofoedu family, with its positive values, likely contributes positively to the community, fostering a sense of trust, cooperation, and progress. On the other hand, Aja's negative influence perpetuates fear, exploitation, and stagnation within the community.

As the literary analysis progresses, it would be prudent to explore how these differing family values play out over the course of the text and ultimately affect the societal fabric. Questions to consider may include: How do the actions of each family impact their relationships with others in the community? How do these dynamics influence the moral compass and collective well-being of the society depicted in the story? By enquiring deeper into these themes, one can uncover the broader commentary that Ezema may be making about the relationship between individual family ethics and the health of the larger social environment.

The character of Aja in Ikechukwu Ezema's *The Reward* is not only defined by his own actions and reputation but also by his associations and relationships within the community. Aja revels in his notoriety and sees it as a source of respect and prestige, albeit a negative one rooted in fear and intimidation. He is presented as,

> Aja, one of the elders who sat at the extreme left of the half-moon sitting formation of the men suddenly looked back. His dark features set in a heavy frown when he saw that the women were too close for comfort. "Do you all rather prefer that we stand up so that you will take our seats?" he roared at the women.

©Copyright 2024 by the author(s)

> Instantly, there was a backward stampede, and some women fell on one another. (4)

One significant aspect contributing to Aja's negative personality is his friendship with Isite, another morally questionable figure in the story. Isite's reputation precedes him, particularly in the context of the accusation of killing Ekwendu's relatives. When confronted with this serious accusation, Isite responds in a manner that reflects his arrogance or lack of remorse. The fact that Aja and Isite are best friends suggests a reinforcing loop of negative influence between them. Their alliance likely emboldens each other's negative behaviours and attitudes, perpetuating a cycle of fear and oppression within the village. Their friendship not only solidifies their positions as troublemakers but also deepens the societal impact of their actions. In analyzing this dynamic, it becomes clear that Aja's negative personality is not just a result of his individual choices but also of the company he keeps. His association with Isite accentuates the broader theme of how personal relationships and affiliations can shape individual behaviour and contribute to societal dynamics.

As the narrative unfolds, it would be interesting to explore how Aja and Isite's friendship influences other characters and events in the story. Does their partnership create alliances or oppositions within the community? How do other characters perceive and react to their actions? These questions can provide further understandings of the social and moral landscape depicted in Ezema's novel. The opening scenes of the novel showcases the kind of person Isite, his friend, is. Isite is accused of killing Ekwendu's relatives, and he gives a poor, or rather arrogant reply to the heavy accusation. Ekwendu presents the case: "Elders of our land, I greet you all. You all know that I lost my aged mother and daughter a month ago. It was a great loss to me, especially that of my daughter who had even reached her majority. They did not just die but were murdered by Isite" (10).

On the other hand, Ofoedu stands in stark contrast to Aja and Isite through his embodiment of positive values and compassionate actions. Ofoedu is portrayed as a morally upright individual who actively avoids oppressive behaviour towards others, whether they are women, children, or anyone vulnerable in his community. One illustrative scene highlights Ofoedu's contrasting demeanor compared to Aja's oppressive nature. When Aja threatens women and causes fear among them, Ofoedu intervenes in a manner that reflects his compassion and rationality. Instead of endorsing Aja's intimidation tactics, Ofoedu advises him to desist from his oppressive behaviour, advocating for the rights and well-being of the women. This moment not only highlights Ofoedu's commitment to fairness and humane treatment but also sets him apart from Aja and Isite, who thrive on fear and dominance. Ofoedu's plea to Aja to relent further emphasizes his role as a voice of reason

©Copyright 2024 by the author(s)

and empathy within the narrative. By contrasting Ofoedu's actions with those of Aja and Isite, Ezema likely highlights broader thematic elements regarding the impact of individual morality on community dynamics. Ofoedu's positive influence and respectful treatment of others contribute to a more harmonious social environment, whereas Aja and Isite's actions perpetuate discord and fear.

As the story unfolds, further exploration of Ofoedu's interactions with other characters and his impact on the community could illuminate deeper awareness of the author's commentary on ethics, power dynamics, and societal health. This contrast between Ofoedu and characters like Aja and Isite serves as a pathway through which readers can analyze the complexities of human behaviour and its consequences within the context of the novel. "Aja, leave the women alone" (5). Ofoedu is described as gentle, hardworking, and principled ("does not cut corners"). These qualities are not only evident in his own behaviour but are also passed down to his children and the rest of his family. As a result, his son, Nduka Igboamalu, excels in school, behaves well, and presents himself positively. On the other hand, Aja is depicted differently. His reaction to someone's plea suggests anger, which contrasts sharply with Ofoedu's gentle demeanor. Aja views himself as all-powerful and infallible, indicating a sense of arrogance or authoritarianism.

The differences between these two individuals can be traced back to their family backgrounds and how they were raised. Ofoedu's nurturing and principled approach have resulted in positive outcomes for his family, particularly his son's success and good behaviour. In contrast, Aja's character traits, such as anger and a belief in his own infallibility, likely stem from a different upbringing or family environment. This passage highlights how familial influences can shape individuals' characters and behaviours, impacting not only their own lives but also those of their children and wider family circle. Nduka's endeavours are presented in this way:

> At the end of this Assembly, every one of you should go and meet your respective teachers for your results. But before then, I want to give honor to whom honor is due, and disdain to who deserves it. Where is Nduka Igboamalu? A little boy stepped forward. He was the son of Ichie Ofoedu. In addition to being the best pupil in his class, this pupil is the school's overall best. Now give him a big round of applause. He has an award of one hundred pieces of exercise books and fifty pieces of pencils. (15)

Atu, who is Aja's son, exhibits characteristics that contrast sharply with Nduka, who is Ofoedu's son. Atu is described as recalcitrant in school, performing poorly academically, and displaying laziness. In contrast, Nduka excels academically and is

©Copyright 2024 by the author(s)

recognized as the best pupil in the school. The description of Atu as recalcitrant suggests that he is disobedient or resistant to authority, which stands in stark contrast to Nduka's disciplined and well-behaved demeanor. Atu's academic performance being the worst among his peers further emphasizes his lack of diligence or ability compared to Nduka's academic achievements. These differences in character and intelligence between Atu and Nduka reflect their upbringing and the values instilled in them by their respective families. While Nduka benefits from a nurturing environment characterized by principles such as hard work and discipline, Atu appears to struggle due to possibly different familial influences or attitudes towards education and personal responsibility. Generally, the contrast between Nduka and Atu illustrates how familial upbringing and values can significantly shape individuals' behaviours, academic performance, and overall success in life. Mr. Okafor presenting Atu before the people in the school says: "He is the worst thing that has happened to this school since inception. He is just bad at everything; academic, manners, and what have you. In addition to class failure, he took the overall last position in the whole school" (16).

Aja's parenting approach towards his son Atu's academic struggles is highly problematic. Instead of expressing concern or attempting to guide Atu towards improvement, Aja dismisses his son's poor school performance entirely. Rather than encouraging Atu to apply himself or seek help, Aja admonishes him to embrace qualities like bravery, daring, and defiance. Aja's animosity towards his rival's academically successful son exacerbates the situation, as he appears resentful that another child's achievement indirectly contributes to his son's predicament. Compounding the issue, Aja blatantly ignores Atu's disappointing results and instead chastises him for displaying emotional vulnerability. He chides Atu for crying, equating tears with weakness and femininity. Aja's advice to his son prioritizes projecting strength, boldness, and courage over addressing the underlying academic issues. This reinforces toxic masculinity norms while failing to provide constructive guidance or support for Atu's educational development. Aja speaks:

> "How old are you now?" "I am twelve years old, Papa." "You are already a man, Atu but you disappoint me a lot." "How Papa?" Aja cleared his throat and glared at his son's face. "When I was your age, the whole village already knew me. They did not know me just because I am Aja, the son of Anunuebe. They rather knew me because I was terror. I was one man riot crowd." (20)

In stark contrast to Aja's dismissive attitude towards Atu's academic struggles, Ofoedu takes a markedly different approach in parenting his son Nduka. Rather than

©Copyright 2024 by the author(s)

encouraging brash defiance, Ofoedu believes in setting Nduka on the proper path to becoming a good and humane member of society through sound advice and counsel. Even during difficult or troubling times, such as when Ofoedu faces a false accusation and must leave the village, he seizes the opportunity to impart positive guidance to Nduka. Instead of promoting toxic masculinity by chastising emotional expression, Ofoedu likely uses such trying moments to instill important values and life lessons in his son. Ofoedu's parenting philosophy centers on shaping Nduka's character through wise mentorship, molding him into an upright citizen who can contribute positively to the community. This stands in sharp relief to Aja's dismissal of Atu's formative struggles and his encouragement of reckless bravado over personal growth and responsibility. Amid his troubles, he states to Nduka:

> Nduka, I know you are marked for greatness. A ripe corn can be identified by its look. Keep on being who you are. Avoid completely every form of evil communication because darkness and light have nothing in common. The end of two needles can never meet. Keep on being obedient and respectful because a child that knows how to wash his hands dines with elders." (53)

Ofoedu's positive parenting approach yields a starkly different household environment compared to Aja's. His mentorship style focused on guiding his children down the proper path cultivates an organized, progressive, and distinctly functional family unit. The results are evident in his children's exemplary behaviour and academic performance - they are described as the best students in school and extremely well-mannered. Ofoedu's wife is also portrayed as beautiful and composed, suggesting the family's respectable reputation. This nurturing environment pays dividends, as evidenced when suitors swiftly asks for Ofoedu's daughter’ s (Nkechi) hand in marriage, undoubtedly recognizing the virtues instilled by her upbringing. Even at her wedding ceremony, a pivotal life event, Nkechi's conduct remains impeccably well-behaved, a testament to the powerful impact of Ofoedu's parenting. In contrast to the dysfunctional dynamics of Aja's family, where toxic masculinity and disregard for personal growth prevail, Ofoedu's guidance fosters an environment of propriety, achievement, and healthy relationships. His children's successes and the honour bestowed upon his daughter emphasize the intense consequences divergent parenting philosophies can manifest within the family unit. The good behaviour of the daughter at the marriage is presented as: "Nkechi knelt before her father, Ofoedu, who gave her a horn filled with palm wine. 'My daughter, go give the person whose crown fits you'" (40).

Aja's corrosive parenting extends far beyond just inappropriate speeches that corrupt his children's values. His fundamentally wicked nature leads him to perpetrate

©Copyright 2024 by the author(s)

numerous atrocities throughout the novel, often aided by his equally unscrupulous friend Isite. Disturbingly, Aja actively supports and even assists with the cruel misdeeds carried out by his own children, cheering them on rather than discouraging such vile behaviour. The depths of Aja's depravity are evident in his calculated scheme to frame his enemy Ofoedu for murder, motivated by his desire to take Ofoedu's wife for himself. When this ploy succeeds, Aja takes his malice even further by deliberately poisoning most of the community, aiming to profit from their afflicted state. He meticulously plans this heinous act alongside Isite. The sheer immorality of their plot is accentuated by the chilling way Isite mocks the poisoning victims, showcasing a complete lack of empathy or human decency. Isite's cruel taunting of the afflicted illustrates the sociopathic mindset he shares with Aja.

By actively promoting immorality through both his words and unconscionable deeds, Aja proves to be a uniquely corrupting force within the novel's narrative. His willingness to enable and participate in his children's misdeeds compounds the harm of his own atrocities against Ofoedu and the greater community. The severity of this evil plan is showcased in how Isite mocks the afflicted as presented below:

> The two friends further discussed the prospects of their newfound business and parted. For the rest of the day, Isite paid a supposed sympathy visit to almost all the families of Ananta. By then, the epidemic had already taken a toll on almost everyone. Intermittent passage of stool became the order of the day. The children were worse hit because they passed a mixture of blood and stool. (71)

Aja and Isite display a complete disregard for human life, unbothered by the potential deadly consequences of their Machiavellian scheme to poison the community. They lack even an ounce of sympathy for the intensive suffering and widespread death and gloom their actions inflict upon innocent people. Their sole motivation is the prospect of earning illicit gains, blinding them to the moral depravity of their plot. This negativity and wickedness towards their own community stems from their self-absorbed obsession with personal profit over all else. Aja and Isite remain utterly unconcerned about the larger ramifications or effect their atrocious actions will have, consumed instead by their greed and hunger for wealth.

However, their sinister machinations do not go entirely according to plan. Aja's objective to take Ofoedu's wife for himself ends in failure and frustration, despite the collateral damage he unleashed. This outcome serves as a harsh consequence, denying Aja the perverse prize he sacrificed his humanity to obtain. Isite too faces repercussions beyond the ethical burden of the many deaths they caused. Though the precise nature is unclear, the

©Copyright 2024 by the author(s)

ripples of their immorality inevitably come back to haunt them in some capacity. Their solipsistic pursuit of riches through any means necessary cannot insulate them from the larger effects their depravity generates. His frustration is noticed heavily by his wives as it is revealed that "throughout the night, Aja did not catch a wink of sleep. His wives knew that something was amiss since he rejected all the dinner placed before him" (94). Adding insult to injury after his failed attempt to take Ofoedu's wife, Aja is forced to reckon with the news that Ofoedu's son Nduka has secured a prestigious scholarship to a top Federal Government College in the city due to his excellent academic performance. Rather than recognizing Nduka's merits, Aja's response is petty and underhanded - he uses his ill-gotten gains to essentially bribe his way into getting his own son and Isite's son admitted to the same school.

However, once at the college, the stark differences between the students become glaringly apparent. While Nduka continues excelling scholastically, upheld by the strong ethical foundation instilled by his father, Aja and Isite's sons prove unable to keep up academically. Their senses of entitlement and lack of discipline leave them struggling. Lacking Nduka's inherent drive and moral core, Aja and Isite's sons predictably veer into self-destructive behaviour instead of applying themselves. They fall in with unsavory gangs and their misconduct eventually gets them expelled from the prestigious institution their admission was so ill-deservedly purchased. This outcome calls attention to the cyclical consequences stemming from Aja's moral bankruptcy as a parent. Just as he poisoned his community for profit, his own son's potential is squandered due to the rotten values imparted. While Nduka exemplifies the virtues of his upbringing, Aja's progeny replicates his reprehensible trajectory. The situation is presented as this:

> The captured electronic data was analyzed and a total of forty-five students were expelled, including Atu and Chuta. Further analysis saw the indefinite suspension of five teachers, including Dickson. Atu and Chuta did not go back to Ananta. They remained in the city and were properly introduced into the mainstream of drug peddling and armed robbery. (116)

The toxic upbringing imposed by Aja and Isite on their sons, Atu and Chuta, sends them spiraling down an increasingly criminal path rife with harm and negativity towards society. Devoid of the ethical grounding that allows Nduka to positively impact his community, Atu and Chuta become harbingers of depravity and violence. Their descent into a life of crime and illegality knows no bounds, as they ultimately commit severe atrocities that shake the moral fabric of society to its core. While Nduka continues excelling as a force for good, Atu and Chuta's actions reverberate as devastating examples of the

©Copyright 2024 by the author(s)

intergenerational perpetuation of immorality. In a sickening but arguably unsurprising turn, this cyclical malignancy culminates with Atu and Chuta committing the most unconscionable of crimes - murdering their own fathers, Aja and Isite. This act serves as both the tragic apex and denouement of the ethical rot they inherited and enthusiastically amplified. Aja and Isite's disgraceful legacy extends to its ultimate self-destructive conclusion, as their sons' violent tendencies deprive them of even the RIGHT to live. The family implosion echoes the broader societal decay their obsession with wealth and depravity wrought.

In a singular, brutal moment, Atu, Chuta, Aja and Isite seal their ruinous fates, a harsh reckoning for those who poisoned the community's soul through avarice and vile deeds. Their deaths cement the permanence of the harm they caused, while Nduka's positive impact glimmers as a faint remaining source of hope. The gory scene is shown as "those that were bold enough to stay back saw the order two boys out of the first van. The boys, who were later recognized to be Atu and Chuta, were chained together at their feet. In their hands were the freshly severed heads of their fathers" (122).

Conclusion

Ikechukwu Ezema's novel *The Reward* serves as a profound exploration of how the values instilled within the family unit can shape the moral fiber of an entire society. The work presents a stark dichotomy between two contrasting households, illuminating the divergent cultural trajectories that virtuous and toxic familial environments can generate. On one end of the spectrum lies the Ofoedu family, where nurturing guidance and ethical principles are carefully cultivated. This positive foundation allows Ofoedu's children, particularly Nduka, to blossom into upstanding contributors who elevate societal standards through their academic excellence and moral rectitude. Their virtuous actions radiate outward, subtly fortifying the community's greater good. Conversely, the Aja household represents a vortex of depravity poisoning successive generations. The corrosive environment fostered by Aja sees his offspring Atu and Chuta embracing immorality wholeheartedly. Their descent into depravity births an escalating cycle of harm, crime, and violence that infects the community like an insidious cancer. The repercussions prove devastatingly far-reaching, from the personal tragedy of Aja and Isite's murders to the broader proliferation of unscrupulous acts eroding social ethics.

Through this moving dichotomy, Ezema constructs a harsh cautionary vision - the seeds planted by virtuous or deviant family value systems ultimately bear bountiful or poisonous harvests shaping a nation's trail towards prosperity or ruin. The novel encapsulates an immutable truth - that family dynamics, though individualized, reverberate outward to greatly influence the cultural climate and social well-being in both generative

©Copyright 2024 by the author(s)

and destructive ways. Families, as the foundational societal blocks, wield an immense power to elevate or undermine the moral pillars fortifying their communities. Ezema's narrative work operates as an urgent call to reevaluate this gravity. As the basic units from which the larger social fabric emerges, families bear a critical responsibility to uphold - or imperil - society's ethical foundations through the values they impart.

Finally, *The Reward* stands as a literary testament to the thoughtful and inescapable reality that the health and virtues cultivated within family structures hold transformative consequences across cultural, societal, and national planes. The fates and deeds of these small social units possess the power to shape the destiny of entire peoples. Ezema's work spotlights this truth as a solemn reminder of the immense importance of nurturing ethical family environments.

References

Allen, K., & Henderson, A. C. Family *Theories: Foundations and Applications*. Wiley, 2017.

Broad, Sara Eileen. "Historical or Hysterical? Periodising Family Breakdown in Contemporary Children' s Literature." *IBBYlink*, 25, 2009, pp. 3-5. Available at https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/4749/

Ezema, Ikechukwu. *The Reward*. Matag Educational Resource, 2015.

Garman, Kerry. *Representations of Families in Children's Literature.* Master's Thesis in Education, Autumn Term 2022. Department of Education, University of Jyväskylä. https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&source=web&rct=j&opi=89978449&url=https://jyx.jyu.fi/bitstream/handle/123456789/85462/1/URN%253ANBN%253Afi%253Ajyu-202302151750.pdf&ved=2ahUKEwjfi5Sjwd-GAxVxUkEAHW98DjsQFnoECA8QAQ&usg=AOvVaw2RxLddT0Qfi5MZleFhIZDa

Hammond, Ron J. *Sociology of the Family.* Smashwords, 2010.

Kennedy, X. J., & Dana Gioia. *Literature: An Introduction to Fiction, Poetry, and Drama*. Pearson, 2015.

Morris, Kate, Nathan Hughes, Harriet Clarke, & Jerry Tew. *Think Family: A Literature Review of Whole Family Approaches*. Cabinet Office: Social Exclusion Task Force, 2017.

©Copyright 2024 by the author(s)